

Practitioner's Docket No. 944-001.008-1**PATENT**

Preliminary Classification:

Proposed Class:

Subclass:

NOTE: "All applicants are requested to include a preliminary classification on newly filed patent applications. The preliminary classification, preferably class and subclass designations, should be identified in the upper right-hand corner of the letter of transmittal accompanying the application papers, for example "Proposed Class 2, subclass 129." M.P.E.P. § 601, 7th ed.

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

Box Patent Application  
Assistant Commissioner for Patents  
Washington, D.C. 20231

**NEW APPLICATION TRANSMITTAL**

Transmitted herewith for filing is the patent application of

Inventor(s): Jan SUUMÄKI, Hans KALLIO and Kalle AHMAVAARA

**WARNING:** 37 C.F.R. § 1.41(a)(1) points out:

"(a) A patent is applied for in the name or names of the actual inventor or inventors.

"(1) The inventorship of a nonprovisional application is that inventorship set forth in the oath or declaration as prescribed by § 1.63, except as provided for in § 1.53(d)(4) and § 1.63(d). If an oath or declaration as prescribed by § 1.63 is not filed during the pendency of a nonprovisional application, the inventorship is that inventorship set forth in the application papers filed pursuant to § 1.53(b), unless a petition under this paragraph accompanied by the fee set forth in § 1.17(f) is filed supplying or changing the name or names of the inventor or inventors."

For (title): **Transfer of Optimization Algorithm Parameters During Handover of a Mobile Station Between Radio Network Subsystems**

**CERTIFICATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.10\*****(Express Mail label number is mandatory.)****(Express Mail certification is optional.)**

I hereby certify that this New Application Transmittal and the documents referred to as attached therein are being deposited with the United States Postal Service on this date Nov. 20, 2000, in an envelope as "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee," mailing Label Number EL 628637054 US, addressed to the: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231.

Margery B. Hood

(type or print name of person mailing paper)

Margery B. Hood

Signature of person mailing paper

**WARNING:** Certificate of mailing (first class) or facsimile transmission procedures of 37 C.F.R. § 1.8 cannot be used to obtain a date of mailing or transmission for this correspondence.

**\*WARNING:** Each paper or fee filed by "Express Mail" **must** have the number of the "Express Mail" mailing label placed thereon prior to mailing. 37 C.F.R. § 1.10(b).

"Since the filing of correspondence under § 1.10 without the Express Mail mailing label thereon is an oversight that can be avoided by the exercise of reasonable care, requests for waiver of this requirement will **not** be granted on petition." Notice of Oct. 24, 1996, 60 Fed. Reg. 56,439, at 56,442.

(New Application Transmittal [4-1]—page 1 of 11)

## 1. Type of Application

This new application is for a(n)

(check one applicable item below)

☒ Original (nonprovisional)

☐ Design

☐ Plant

**WARNING:** Do not use this transmittal for a completion in the U.S. of an International Application under 35 U.S.C. § 371(c)(4), unless the International Application is being filed as a divisional, continuation or continuation-in-part application.

**WARNING:** Do not use this transmittal for the filing of a provisional application.

**NOTE:** If one of the following 3 items apply, then complete and attach ADDED PAGES FOR NEW APPLICATION TRANSMITTAL WHERE BENEFIT OF A PRIOR U.S. APPLICATION CLAIMED and a NOTIFICATION IN PARENT APPLICATION OF THE FILING OF THIS CONTINUATION APPLICATION.

☐ Divisional.

☐ Continuation.

☐ Continuation-in-part (C-I-P).

## 2. Benefit of Prior U.S. Application(s) (35 U.S.C. §§ 119(e), 120, or 121)

**NOTE:** A nonprovisional application may claim an invention disclosed in one or more prior filed copending nonprovisional applications or copending international applications designating the United States of America. In order for a nonprovisional application to claim the benefit of a prior filed copending nonprovisional application or copending international application designating the United States of America, each prior application must name as an inventor at least one inventor named in the later filed nonprovisional application and disclose the named inventor's invention claimed in at least one claim of the later filed nonprovisional application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. § 112. Each prior application must also be:

(i) An international application entitled to a filing date in accordance with PCT Article 11 and designating the United States of America; or

(ii) Complete as set forth in § 1.51(b); or

(iii) Entitled to a filing date as set forth in § 1.53(b) or § 1.53(d) and include the basic filing fee set forth in § 1.16; or

(iv) Entitled to a filing date as set forth in § 1.53(b) and have paid therein the processing and retention fee set forth in § 1.21(f) within the time period set forth in § 1.53(f).

37 C.F.R. § 1.78(a)(1).

**NOTE:** If the new application being transmitted is a divisional, continuation or a continuation-in-part of a parent case, or where the parent case is an International Application which designated the U.S., or benefit of a prior provisional application is claimed, then check the following item and complete and attach ADDED PAGES FOR NEW APPLICATION TRANSMITTAL WHERE BENEFIT OF PRIOR U.S. APPLICATION(S) CLAIMED.

**WARNING:** If an application claims the benefit of the filing date of an earlier filed application under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120, 121 or 365(c), the 20-year term of that application will be based upon the filing date of the earliest U.S. application that the application makes reference to under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120, 121 or 365(c). (35 U.S.C. § 154(a)(2) does not take into account, for the determination of the patent term, any application on which priority is claimed under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119, 365(a) or 365(b).) For a c-i-p application, applicant should review whether any claim in the patent that will issue is supported by an earlier application and, if not, the applicant should consider canceling the reference to the earlier filed application. The term of a patent is not based on a claim-by-claim approach. See Notice of April 14, 1995, 60 Fed. Reg. 20,195, at 20,205.

(New Application Transmittal [4-1]—page 2 of 11)

**WARNING:** When the last day of pendency of a provisional application falls on a Saturday, Sunday, or Federal holiday within the District of Columbia, any nonprovisional application claiming benefit of the provisional application must be filed prior to the Saturday, Sunday, or Federal holiday within the District of Columbia. See 37 C.F.R. § 1.78(a)(3).

- ☒ The new application being transmitted claims the benefit of prior U.S. application(s). Enclosed are ADDED PAGES FOR NEW APPLICATION TRANSMITTAL WHERE BENEFIT OF PRIOR U.S. APPLICATION(S) CLAIMED.

### 3. Papers Enclosed

- A. Required for filing date under 37 C.F.R. § 1.53(b) (Regular) or 37 C.F.R. § 1.153 (Design) Application

29 Pages of specification

2 Pages of claims

14 Sheets of drawing

**WARNING:** **DO NOT** submit original drawings. A high quality copy of the drawings should be supplied when filing a patent application. The drawings that are submitted to the Office must be on strong, white, smooth, and non-shiny paper and meet the standards according to § 1.84. If corrections to the drawings are necessary, they should be made to the original drawing and a high-quality copy of the corrected original drawing then submitted to the Office. Only one copy is required or desired. For comments on proposed then-new 37 C.F.R. § 1.84, see Notice of March 9, 1988 (1990 O.G. 57-62).

**NOTE:** "Identifying indicia, if provided, should include the application number or the title of the invention, inventor's name, docket number (if any), and the name and telephone number of a person to call if the Office is unable to match the drawings to the proper application. This information should be placed on the back of each sheet of drawing a minimum distance of 1.5 cm. (5/8 inch) down from the top of the page . . . 37 C.F.R. § 1.84(c)."

(complete the following, if applicable)

- ☐ The enclosed drawing(s) are photograph(s), and there is also attached a "PETITION TO ACCEPT PHOTOGRAPH(S) AS DRAWING(S)." 37 C.F.R. § 1.84(b).

- ☒ formal  
☐ informal

### B. Other Papers Enclosed

\_\_\_ Pages of declaration and power of attorney

1 Pages of abstract

\_\_\_ Other

### 4. Additional papers enclosed

- ☐ Amendment to claims
- ☐ Cancel in this applications claims \_\_\_\_\_ before calculating the filing fee. (At least one original independent claim must be retained for filing purposes.)
- ☐ Add the claims shown on the attached amendment. (Claims added have been numbered consecutively following the highest numbered original claims.)
- ☐ Preliminary Amendment
- ☐ Information Disclosure Statement (37 C.F.R. § 1.98)
- ☐ Form PTO-1449 (PTO/SB/08A and 08B)
- ☐ Citations

- ☐ Declaration of Biological Deposit
- ☐ Submission of "Sequence Listing," computer readable copy and/or amendment pertaining thereto for biotechnology invention containing nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence.
- ☐ Authorization of Attorney(s) to Accept and Follow Instructions from Representative
- ☐ Special Comments
- ☐ Other

**5. Declaration or oath (including power of attorney)**

**NOTE:** A newly executed declaration is not required in a continuation or divisional application provided that the prior nonprovisional application contained a declaration as required, the application being filed is by all or fewer than all the inventors named in the prior application, there is no new matter in the application being filed, and a copy of the executed declaration filed in the prior application (showing the signature or an indication thereon that it was signed) is submitted. The copy must be accompanied by a statement requesting deletion of the names of person(s) who are not inventors of the application being filed. If the declaration in the prior application was filed under § 1.47, then a copy of that declaration must be filed accompanied by a copy of the decision granting § 1.47 status or, if a nonsigning person under § 1.47 has subsequently joined in a prior application, then a copy of the subsequently executed declaration must be filed. See 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.63(d)(1)–(3).

**NOTE:** A declaration filed to complete an application must be executed, identify the specification to which it is directed, identify each inventor by full name including family name and at least one given name, without abbreviation together with any other given name or initial, and the residence, post office address and country or citizenship of each inventor, and state whether the inventor is a sole or joint inventor. 37 C.F.R. § 1.63(a)(1)–(4).

**NOTE:** "The inventorship of a nonprovisional application is that inventorship set forth in the oath or declaration as prescribed by § 1.62, except as provided for in § 1.53(d)(4) and § 1.63(d). If an oath or declaration as prescribed by § 1.63 is not filed during the pendency of a nonprovisional application, the inventorship is that inventorship set forth in the application papers filed pursuant to § 1.53(b), unless a petition under this paragraph accompanied by the fee set forth in § 1.17(i) is filed supplying or changing the name or names of the inventor or inventors." 37 C.F.R. § 1.41(a)(1).

- ☐ Enclosed

Executed by

(check all applicable boxes)

- ☐ inventor(s).
- ☐ legal representative of inventor(s).  
37 C.F.R. §§ 1.42 or 1.43.
- ☐ joint inventor or person showing a proprietary interest on behalf of inventor who refused to sign or cannot be reached.

- ☐ This is the petition required by 37 C.F.R. § 1.47 and the statement required by 37 C.F.R. § 1.47 is also attached. See item 13 below for fee.

- ☒ Not Enclosed.

**NOTE:** Where the filing is in the U.S. of an International Application or where the completion of the U.S. application contains subject matter in addition to the International Application, the application may be treated as a continuation or continuation-in-part, as the case may be, utilizing ADDED PAGE FOR NEW APPLICATION TRANSMITTAL WHERE BENEFIT OF PRIOR U.S. APPLICATION CLAIMED.

- ☐ Application is made by a person authorized under 37 C.F.R. § 1.41(c) on behalf of all the above named inventor(s).

(New Application Transmittal [4-1]—page 4 of 11)

(The declaration or oath, along with the surcharge required by 37 C.F.R. § 1.16(e) can be filed subsequently).

- ☐ Showing that the filing is authorized.  
(not required unless called into question. 37 C.F.R. § 1.41(d))

## 6. Inventorship Statement

**WARNING:** If the named inventors are each not the inventors of all the claims an explanation, including the ownership of the various claims at the time the last claimed invention was made, should be submitted.

The inventorship for all the claims in this application are:

- ☐ The same.

or

- ☐ Not the same. An explanation, including the ownership of the various claims at the time the last claimed invention was made,  
☐ is submitted.  
☐ will be submitted.

## 7. Language

**NOTE:** An application including a signed oath or declaration may be filed in a language other than English. An English translation of the non-English language application and the processing fee of \$130.00 required by 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(f) is required to be filed with the application, or within such time as may be set by the Office. 37 C.F.R. § 1.52(d).

- ☒ English  
☐ Non-English  
☐ The attached translation includes a statement that the translation is accurate. 37 C.F.R. § 1.52(d).

## 8. Assignment

- ☒ An assignment of the invention to Nokia Mobile Phones Ltd.  
☐ is attached. A separate ☐ "COVER SHEET FOR ASSIGNMENT (DOCUMENT) ACCOMPANYING NEW PATENT APPLICATION" or ☐ FORM PTO 1595 is also attached.

- ☒ will follow.

**NOTE:** "If an assignment is submitted with a new application, send two separate letters—one for the application and one for the assignment." Notice of May 4, 1990 (1114 O.G. 77-78).

**WARNING:** A newly executed "CERTIFICATE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 3.73(b)" must be filed when a continuation-in-part application is filed by an assignee. Notice of April 30, 1993, 1150 O.G. 62-64.

(New Application Transmittal [4-1]—page 5 of 11)

# 9. Certified Copy

Certified copy(ies) of application(s)

Country	Appln. No.	Filed
Country	Appln. No.	Filed
Country	Appln. No.	Filed

from which priority is claimed

- ☐ is (are) attached.  
☐ will follow.

NOTE: The foreign application forming the basis for the claim for priority must be referred to in the oath or declaration. 37 C.F.R. § 1.55(a) and 1.63.

NOTE: This item is for any foreign priority for which the application being filed directly relates. If any parent U.S. application or International Application from which this application claims benefit under 35 U.S.C. § 120 is itself entitled to priority from a prior foreign application, then complete item 18 on the ADDED PAGES FOR NEW APPLICATION TRANSMITTAL WHERE BENEFIT OF PRIOR U.S. APPLICATION(S) CLAIMED.

# 10. Fee Calculation (37 C.F.R. § 1.16)

A. ☒ Regular application

CLAIMS AS FILED			
Number filed	Number Extra	Rate	Basic Fee 37 C.F.R. § 1.16(a)
			<del>\$600.00</del> 710.00
Total Claims (37 C.F.R. § 1.16(c))	4 - 20 =	- 0 - × \$ 18.00	- 0 -
Independent Claims (37 C.F.R. § 1.16(b))	2 - 3 =	- 0 - × \$ 30.00	—
Multiple dependent claim(s), if any (37 C.F.R. § 1.16(d))		+ \$260.00	

- ☐ Amendment cancelling extra claims is enclosed.  
☐ Amendment deleting multiple-dependencies is enclosed.  
☐ Fee for extra claims is not being paid at this time.

NOTE: If the fees for extra claims are not paid on filing they must be paid or the claims cancelled by amendment, prior to the expiration of the time period set for response by the Patent and Trademark Office in any notice of fee deficiency. 37 C.F.R. § 1.16(d).

Filing Fee Calculation

\$ 710.00

B. ☐ Design application  
(\$310.00—37 C.F.R. § 1.16(f))

Filing Fee Calculation

\$ \_\_\_\_\_

(New Application Transmittal [4-1]—page 6 of 11)

- C. ☐ Plant application  
(\$480.00—37 C.F.R. § 1.16(g))

Filing fee calculation

\$ \_\_\_\_\_

# 11. Small Entity Statement(s)

- ☐ Statement(s) that this is a filing by a small entity under 37 C.F.R. § 1.9 and 1.27 is (are) attached.

**WARNING:** "Status as a small entity must be specifically established in each application or patent in which the status is available and desired. Status as a small entity in one application or patent does not affect any other application or patent, including applications or patents which are directly or indirectly dependent upon the application or patent in which the status has been established. The refiling of an application under § 1.53 as a continuation, division, or continuation-in-part (including a continued prosecution application under § 1.53(d)), or the filing of a reissue application requires a new determination as to continued entitlement to small entity status for the continuing or reissue application. A nonprovisional application claiming benefit under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e), 120, 121, or 365(c) of a prior application, or a reissue application may rely on a statement filed in the prior application or in the patent if the nonprovisional application or the reissue application includes a reference to the statement in the prior application or in the patent or includes a copy of the statement in the prior application or in the patent and status as a small entity is still proper and desired. The payment of the small entity basic statutory filing fee will be treated as such a reference for purposes of this section." 37 C.F.R. § 1.28(a)(2).

**WARNING:** "Small entity status must not be established when the person or persons signing the . . . statement can unequivocally make the required self-certification." M.P.E.P., § 509.03, 6th ed., rev. 2, July 1996 (emphasis added).

(complete the following, if applicable)

- ☐ Status as a small entity was claimed in prior application  
\_\_\_\_\_ / \_\_\_\_\_, filed on \_\_\_\_\_, from which benefit is being claimed for this application under:

35 U.S.C. § ☐ 119(e),  
☐ 120,  
☐ 121,  
☐ 365(c),

and which status as a small entity is still proper and desired.

- ☐ A copy of the statement in the prior application is included.

Filing Fee Calculation (50% of A, B or C above)

\$ \_\_\_\_\_

**NOTE:** Any excess of the full fee paid will be refunded if small entity status is established and a refund request are filed within 2 months of the date of timely payment of a full fee. The two-month period is not extendable under § 1.136. 37 C.F.R. § 1.28(a).

# 12. Request for International-Type Search (37 C.F.R. § 1.104(d))

(complete, if applicable)

- ☐ Please prepare an international-type search report for this application at the time when national examination on the merits takes place.

13. Fee Payment Being Made at This Time

☒ Not Enclosed

☒ No filing fee is to be paid at this time.  
(This and the surcharge required by 37 C.F.R. § 1.16(e) can be paid subsequently.)

☐ Enclosed

☐ Filing fee \$ \_\_\_\_\_

☐ Recording assignment  
(\$40.00; 37 C.F.R. § 1.21(h))  
(See attached "COVER SHEET FOR  
ASSIGNMENT ACCOMPANYING NEW  
APPLICATION") \$ \_\_\_\_\_

☐ Petition fee for filing by other than all the  
inventors or person on behalf of the inventor  
where inventor refused to sign or cannot be  
reached  
(\$130.00; 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.47 and 1.17(i)) \$ \_\_\_\_\_

☐ For processing an application with a  
specification in  
a non-English language  
(\$130.00; 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.52(d) and 1.17(k)) \$ \_\_\_\_\_

☐ Processing and retention fee  
(\$130.00; 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.53(d) and 1.21(l)) \$ \_\_\_\_\_

☐ Fee for international-type search report  
(\$40.00; 37 C.F.R. § 1.21(e)) \$ \_\_\_\_\_

NOTE: 37 C.F.R. § 1.21(f) establishes a fee for processing and retaining any application that is abandoned for failing to complete the application pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.53(f) and this, as well as the changes to 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.53 and 1.78(a)(1), indicate that in order to obtain the benefit of a prior U.S. application, either the basic filing fee must be paid, or the processing and retention fee of § 1.21(f) must be paid, within 1 year from notification under § 53(f).

Total fees enclosed \$ \_\_\_\_\_

14. Method of Payment of Fees

☐ Check in the amount of \$ \_\_\_\_\_

☐ Charge Account No. \_\_\_\_\_ in the amount of  
\$ \_\_\_\_\_

A duplicate of this transmittal is attached.

NOTE: Fees should be itemized in such a manner that it is clear for which purpose the fees are paid. 37 C.F.R. § 1.22(b).

(New Application Transmittal [4-1]—page 8 of 11)

**15. Authorization to Charge Additional Fees**

**WARNING:** If no fees are to be paid on filing, the following items should not be completed.

**WARNING:** Accurately count claims, especially multiple dependent claims, to avoid unexpected high charges, if extra claim charges are authorized.

- ☐ The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge the following additional fees by this paper and during the entire pendency of this application to Account No. 23-0442:

- ☐ 37 C.F.R. § 1.16(a), (f) or (g) (filing fees)  
☐ 37 C.F.R. § 1.16(b), (c) and (d) (presentation of extra claims)

**NOTE:** Because additional fees for excess or multiple dependent claims not paid on filing or on later presentation must only be paid or these claims cancelled by amendment prior to the expiration of the time period set for response by the PTO in any notice of fee deficiency (37 C.F.R. § 1.16(d)), it might be best not to authorize the PTO to charge additional claim fees, except possibly when dealing with amendments after final action.

- ☐ 37 C.F.R. § 1.16(e) (surcharge for filing the basic filing fee and/or declaration on a date later than the filing date of the application)  
☐ 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(a)(1)-(5) (extension fees pursuant to § 1.136(a)).  
☐ 37 C.F.R. § 1.17 (application processing fees)

**NOTE:** ". . . A written request may be submitted in an application that is an authorization to treat any concurrent or future reply, requiring a petition for an extension of time under this paragraph for its timely submission, as incorporating a petition for extension of time for the appropriate length of time. An authorization to charge all required fees, fees under § 1.17, or all required extension of time fees will be treated as a constructive petition for an extension of time in any concurrent or future reply requiring a petition for an extension of time under this paragraph for its timely submission. Submission of the fee set forth in § 1.17(a) will also be treated as a constructive petition for an extension of time in any concurrent reply requiring a petition for an extension of time under this paragraph for its timely submission." 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a)(3).

- ☐ 37 C.F.R. § 1.18 (issue fee at or before mailing of Notice of Allowance, pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.311(b))

**NOTE:** Where an authorization to charge the issue fee to a deposit account has been filed before the mailing of a Notice of Allowance, the issue fee will be automatically charged to the deposit account at the time of mailing the notice of allowance. 37 C.F.R. § 1.311(b).

**NOTE:** 37 C.F.R. § 1.28(b) requires "Notification of any change in status resulting in loss of entitlement to small entity status must be filed in the application . . . prior to paying, or at the time of paying, . . . the issue fee. . . ." From the wording of 37 C.F.R. § 1.28(b), (a) notification of change of status must be made even if the fee is paid as "other than a small entity" and (b) no notification is required if the change is to another small entity.

(New Application Transmittal [4-1]-page 9 of 11)

16. Instructions as to Overpayment


NOTE: "... Amounts of twenty-five dollars or less will not be returned unless specifically requested within a reasonable time, nor will the payer be notified of such amounts; amounts over twenty-five dollars may be returned by check or, if requested, by credit to a deposit account." 37 C.F.R. § 1.26(a).

- ☐ Credit Account No. \_\_\_\_\_  
☐ Refund

Reg. No. 31,391

Tel. No. (203) 261-1234

Customer No. 004955

  
SIGNATURE OF PRACTITIONER

Francis J. Maquire  
(type or print name of attorney)

WARE, FRESSOLA, VAN DER SLUYS & ADOLPHSON L  
P.O. Address

755 Main Street, PO Box 224  
Monroe Ct 06468  
(New Application Transmittal [4-1]—page 10 of 11)

☒ **Incorporation by reference of added pages**

*(check the following item if the application in this transmittal claims the benefit of prior U.S. application(s) (including an international application entering the U.S. stage as a continuation, divisional or C-I-P application) and complete and attach the ADDED PAGES FOR NEW APPLICATION TRANSMITTAL WHERE BENEFIT OF PRIOR U.S. APPLICATION(S) CLAIMED)*

- ☒ Plus Added Pages for New Application Transmittal Where Benefit of Prior U.S. Application(s) Claimed

Number of pages added 5

- ☐ Plus Added Pages for Papers Referred to in Item 4 Above

Number of pages added \_\_\_\_\_

- ☐ Plus added pages deleting names of inventor(s) named in prior application(s) who is/are no longer inventor(s) of the subject matter claimed in this application.

Number of pages added \_\_\_\_\_

- ☐ Plus "Assignment Cover Letter Accompanying New Application"

Number of pages added \_\_\_\_\_

☐ **Statement Where No Further Pages Added**

*(if no further pages form a part of this Transmittal, then end this Transmittal with this page and check the following item)*

- ☐ This transmittal ends with this page.

**ADDED PAGES FOR APPLICATION TRANSMITTAL WHERE BENEFIT OF  
PRIOR U.S. APPLICATION(S) CLAIMED**

NOTE: See 37 C.F.R. § 1.78.

**17. Relate Back**

**WARNING:** If an application claims the benefit of the filing date of an earlier filed application under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120, 121 or 365(c), the 20-year term of that application will be based upon the filing date of the earliest U.S. application that the application makes reference to under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120, 121 or 365(c). (35 U.S.C. § 154(a)(2) does not take into account, for the determination of the patent term, any application on which priority is claimed under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119, 365(a) or 365(b).) For a c-i-p application, applicant should review whether any claim in the patent that will issue is supported by an earlier application and, if not, the applicant should consider canceling the reference to the earlier filed application. The term of a patent is not based on a claim-by-claim approach. See Notice of April 14, 1995, 60 Fed. Reg. 20,195, at 20,205.

(complete the following, if applicable)

☒ Amend the specification by inserting, before the first line, the following sentence:**A. 35 U.S.C. § 119(e)**

NOTE: "Any nonprovisional application claiming the benefit of one or more prior filed copending provisional applications must contain or be amended to contain in the first sentence of the specification following the title a reference to each such prior provisional application, identifying it as a provisional application, and including the provisional application number (consisting of series code and serial number)." 37 C.F.R. § 1.78(a)(4).

☒ "This application claims the benefit of U.S. Provisional Application(s) No(s).:**APPLICATION NO(S):****FILING DATE**

60 / 167,924  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_/\_\_\_\_\_

Nov. 29, 1999 "  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_/\_\_\_\_\_ "

**B. 35 U.S.C. §§ 120, 121 and 365(c)**

NOTE: "Except for a continued prosecution application filed under § 1.53(d), any nonprovisional application claiming the benefit of one or more prior filed copending nonprovisional applications or international applications designating the United States of America must contain or be amended to contain in the first sentence of the specification following the title a reference to each such prior application, identifying it by application number (consisting of the series code and serial number) or international application number and international filing date and indicating the relationship of the applications. . . . Cross-references to other related applications may be made when appropriate." (See § 1.14(a)), 37 C.F.R. § 1.78(a)(2).

☐ "This application is a

☐ continuation

☐ continuation-in-part

☐ divisional

of copending application(s)

☐ application number 0 / \_\_\_\_\_ filed on \_\_\_\_\_"

☐ International Application \_\_\_\_\_ filed on

\_\_\_\_\_ and which designated the U.S."

NOTE: The proper reference to a prior filed PCT application that entered the U.S. national phase is the U.S. serial number and the filing date of the PCT application that designated the U.S.

NOTE: (1) Where the application being transmitted adds subject matter to the International Application, then the filing can be as a continuation-in-part or (2) if it is desired to do so for other reasons than the filing can be as a continuation.

NOTE: The deadline for entering the national phase in the U.S. for an international application was clarified in the Notice of April 28, 1987 (1079 O.G. 32 to 46) as follows:

"The Patent and Trademark Office considers the international application to be pending until the 22nd month from the priority date if the United States has been designated and no Demand for International Preliminary Examination has been filed prior to the expiration of the 19th month from the priority date and until the 32nd month from the priority date if a Demand for International Preliminary Examination which elected the United States of America has been filed prior to the expiration of the 19th month from the priority date, provided that a copy of the international application has been communicated to the Patent and Trademark Office within the 20 or 30 month period respectively. If a copy of the international application has not been communicated to the Patent and Trademark Office within the 20 or 30 month period respectively, the international application becomes abandoned as to the United States 20 or 30 months from the priority date respectively. These periods have been placed in the rules as paragraph (h) of § 1.494 and paragraph (i) of § 1.495. A continuing application under 35 U.S.C. 365(c) and 120 may be filed anytime during the pendency of the international application."

☐ "The nonprovisional application designated above, namely application

\_\_\_\_\_ / \_\_\_\_\_, filed \_\_\_\_\_, claims the benefit of  
U.S. Provisional Application(s) No(s).:

**APPLICATION NO(S).:**

**FILING DATE**

\_\_\_\_\_ / \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_ "

\_\_\_\_\_ / \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_ "

\_\_\_\_\_ / \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_ "

☐ Where more than one reference is made above, please combine all references into one sentence.

**18. Relate Back—35 U.S.C. § 119 Priority Claim for Prior Application**

The prior U.S. application(s), including any prior International Application designating the U.S., identified above in item 17B, in turn itself claim(s) foreign priority(ies) as follows:

Country	Appln. no.	Filed on
The certified copy(ies) has (have)		
<input type="checkbox"/> been filed on _____, in prior application 0 / _____, which was filed on _____.		
<input type="checkbox"/> is (are) attached.		

**WARNING:** The certified copy of the priority application that may have been communicated to the PTO by the International Bureau may not be relied on without any need to file a certified copy of the priority application in the continuing application. This is so because the certified copy of the priority application communicated by the International Bureau is placed in a folder and is not assigned a U.S. serial number unless the national stage is entered. Such folders are disposed of if the national stage is not entered. Therefore, such certified copies may not be available if needed later in the prosecution of a continuing application. An alternative would be to physically remove the priority documents from the folders and transfer them to the continuing application. The resources required to request transfer, retrieve the folders, make suitable record notations, transfer the certified copies, enter and make a record of such copies in the Continuing Application are substantial. Accordingly, the priority documents in folders of international applications that have not entered the national stage may not be relied on. Notice of April 28, 1987 (1079 O.G. 32 to 46).

**19. Maintenance of Dependency of Prior Application**

**NOTE:** The PTO finds it useful if a copy of the petition filed in the prior application extending the term for response is filed with the papers constituting the filing of the continuation application. Notice of November 5, 1985 (1060 O.G. 27).

- A.** ☐ Extension of time in prior application

*(This item must be completed and the papers filed in the prior application, if the period set in the prior application has run.)*

- ☐ A petition, fee and response extends the term in the pending **prior** application until \_\_\_\_\_.
- ☐ A **copy** of the petition filed in prior application is attached.

- B.** ☐ Conditional Petition for Extension of Time in Prior Application

*(complete this item, if previous item not applicable)*

- ☐ A conditional petition for extension of time is being filed in the pending **prior** application.
- ☐ A **copy** of the conditional petition filed in the prior application is attached.

**20. Further Inventorship Statement Where Benefit of Prior Application(s) Claimed**

*(complete applicable item (a), (b) and/or (c) below)*

- (a) ☐ This application discloses and claims only subject matter disclosed in the prior application whose particulars are set out above and the inventor(s) in this application are
- ☐ the same.
- ☐ less than those named in the prior application. It is requested that the following inventor(s) identified for the prior application be deleted:

---

*(type name(s) of inventor(s) to be deleted)*

- (b) ☐ This application discloses and claims additional disclosure by amendment and a new declaration or oath is being filed. With respect to the prior application, the inventor(s) in this application are
- ☐ the same.
- ☐ the following additional inventor(s) have been added:

---

*(type name(s) of inventor(s) to be added)*

- (c) The inventorship for all the claims in this application are
- ☐ the same.
- ☐ not the same. An explanation, including the ownership of the various claims at the time the last claimed invention was made
- ☐ is submitted.
- ☐ will be submitted.

**21. Abandonment of Prior Application (if applicable)**

- ☐ Please abandon the prior application at a time while the prior application is pending, or when the petition for extension of time or to revive in that application is granted, and when this application is granted a filing date, so as to make this application copending with said prior application.

**NOTE:** According to the Notice of May 13, 1983 (103, TMOG 6-7), the filing of a continuation or continuation-in-part application is a proper response with respect to a petition for extension of time or a petition to revive and should include the express abandonment of the prior application conditioned upon the granting of the petition and the granting of a filing date to the continuing application.

**22. Petition for Suspension of Prosecution for the Time Necessary to File an Amendment**

**WARNING:** "The claims of a new application may be finally rejected in the first Office action in those situations where (A) the new application is a continuing application of, or a substitute for, an earlier application, and (B) all the claims of the new application (1) are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application, and (2) would have been properly finally rejected on the grounds of art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the earlier application." M.P.E.P., § 706.07(b), 7th ed.

**NOTE:** Where it is possible that the claims on file will give rise to a first action final for this continuation application and for some reason an amendment cannot be filed promptly (e.g., experimental data is being gathered) it may be desirable to file a petition for suspension of prosecution for the time necessary.

(check the next item, if applicable)

- ☐ There is provided herewith a Petition To Suspend Prosecution for the Time Necessary to File An Amendment (New Application Filed Concurrently)

**23. Small Entity (37 C.F.R. § 1.28(a))**

- ☐ Applicant has established small entity status by the filing of a statement in parent application /\_\_\_\_\_ on \_\_\_\_\_.  
☐ A copy of the statement previously filed is included.

**WARNING:** See 37 C.F.R. § 1.28(a).

**WARNING:** "Small entity status must not be established when the person or persons signing the . . . statement can unequivocally make the required self-certification." M.P.E.P., § 509.03, 7th ed. (emphasis added).

**24. NOTIFICATION IN PARENT APPLICATION OF THIS FILING**

- ☐ A notification of the filing of this  
(check one of the following)  
☐ continuation  
☐ continuation-in-part  
☐ divisional

is being filed in the parent application, from which this application claims priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120.

U.S. Patent Application of

JAN SUUMÄKI, HANS KALLIO  
and KALLE AHMAVAARA

relating to a

TRANSFER OF OPTIMIZATION ALGORITHM PARAMETERS  
DURING HANDOVER OF A MOBILE STATION  
BETWEEN RADIO NETWORK SUBSYSTEMS

Express Mail No. EL 628637054 US

09746629-112000

TRANSFER OF OPTIMIZATION ALGORITHM PARAMETERS DURING  
HANDOVER OF A MOBILE STATION BETWEEN RADIO  
NETWORK SUBSYSTEMS

5

## TECHNICAL FIELD

2nd and 3rd generation cellular packet systems.

## BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

10 In the Global System for Mobile Telecommunications/  
General Packet Radio Service (GSM/GPRS) network  
architecture, as shown in Fig. 13, there are known data  
protocol stacks associated the various architectural  
elements, including the mobile station (MS), base station  
15 subsystem (BSS) including Base Transceiver Station (BTS)  
and Base Station Controller (BSC), serving GPRS support  
node (SGSN) and gateway GPRS support node (GGSN). The MS  
and the SGSN share peer logical link control (LLC) and  
subnetwork-dependent convergence protocol (SNDCP) layers  
20 in the user plane.

A typical GPRS negotiation that is required between  
peer entities in the mobile station and some of the fixed  
network devices is the exchange identification or XID  
negotiation, where so-called L3CE (layer 3 compatibility  
25 entity) parameters are agreed upon.

The UMTS packet network architecture is highly  
similar to GPRS. However, the naming of some elements and  
interfaces has been changed from GPRS. While Fig. 13  
shows the GPRS network architecture, Fig. 14 shows the  
30 UMTS packet network architecture.

The UMTS packet network consists of the following  
network elements:

**Node B:** corresponds to Base Transceiver Station (BTS) in  
GSM.

35 **RNC** (Radio Network Controller): corresponds to Base  
Station Controller (BSC) in GSM.

**3G-SGSN:** the 3<sup>rd</sup> Generation version of the Serving GPRS Support Node (SGSN) of GSM/GPRS.

**3G-GGSN:** the 3<sup>rd</sup> Generation version of the Gateway GPRS Support Node (GGSN).

- 5 **HLR:** the GSM Home Location Register (HLR) with some updates.

As shown in Fig. 14, Node B and RNC comprise the RAN part of the UMTS network. RAN corresponds to GSM's BSS. The responsibility of RAN is the handling of all radio specific functions, e.g., radio channel ciphering, power control, radio bearer connection setup and release. The basic separation between elements is that Node B handles the physical layer functions and RNC handles the management functions. However, the separation might ultimately turn out to be slightly different than in GSM/GPRS.

The biggest architectural difference is the new interface, Iur, inside RAN. It is resident between RNCs. UMTS introduces a new concept called macrodiversity. In a macrodiversity situation, data is sent via multiple Node Bs. Because signals are transferred via multiple routes over the air interface and combined in the MS and the RNC, e.g., the fading effect is less harmful and thus lower power levels can be used. However, those Node Bs may belong to the area of two or more different RNCs, so the interface, i.e., Iur-interface between RNCs is required. In this situation, as shown on the right in Fig. 15, RNC can be in two logical roles. RNC can be logically either:

- 30       drift RNC (DRNC) or  
         serving RNC (SRNC).

The actual termination point of the Iu-interface is at the SRNC. The Iu-interface shown in Fig. 14 connects the Radio Access Network (RAN) and Core Network (CN) for

packet-switched or circuit switched services. The SRNC controls information transfer and requests radio resources from appropriate DRNCs. The DRNC only relays information between MS and SRNC.

5       The Core Network (CN) part of the packet-switched side consists of 3G-SGSN, 3G-GGSN and HLR elements, as shown in Fig. 14. The Packet Core Network (CN) also includes the IP-based backbone network. The backbone connects core network elements, e.g., 3G-SGSN and 3G-GGSN  
10       together.

3G-SGSN participates in routing of user packets as well as mobility and session management functions. The Mobility Management (MM) layer knows "who you are (security) and where you are (mobility)". The Session  
15       Management (SM) layer controls the user connections, i.e., sessions.

3G-GGSN maintains the location information of 3G-SGSN, which serves the mobile station to which a packet is targeted. The main function of 3G-GGSN is to perform  
20       interworking functions between the UMTS network and the external data network, e.g., the Internet. These interworking functions include, e.g., the mapping of the external QoS to a comparable UMTS QoS.

HLR stores the subscriber data and holds the  
25       information to which 3G-SGSN the user is connected. The subscriber data includes predefined QoS attributes for the user connections, among other things.

The UMTS packet data protocol stack has some major modifications compared to GPRS, partly due to the new  
30       radio interface technology (WCDMA) and partly due to much higher QoS requirements.

One of the most important changes is that Logical Link Control layer (LLC) of ESM/GPRS has been removed below the Layer 3 Compatibility Entity (L3CE). L3CE

corresponds to SubNetwork Dependent Convergence Protocol (SNDCP) protocol in GPRS. The main tasks of the LLC protocol have been:

- 5           flow control between MS and core network,
- ciphering,
- signaling message transfer,
- multiplexing of different QoS and
- retransmission between MS and the core network.

10           In UMTS, LLC is not needed due to the following reasons: 1) Ciphering has been decided to take place in lower layers, inside RAN. 2) Signaling message transfer does not use user plane protocols, because there are separate protocols for transferring signaling messages and thus the differentiation between the user plane and

15           the control plane is clearer than in GPRS.

          In the UMTS radio interface, each radio bearer will have its own Radio Link Control (RLC) entity. By applying this approach the QoS provisioning is more efficient. The QoS related multiplexing will be a task

20           for the Medium Access Control (MAC) layer and Layer 1 (L1) and thus LLC would not have any role in QoS multiplexing in UMTS. The retransmission between the MS and the core network cannot be easily justified. The main source of the errors is the radio interface, and RLC has

25           the responsibility to correct those errors.

          However, the removal of LLC will cause a lack of flow control between the MS and the core network. The flow control in the uplink is not a problem, because the radio interface will be the bottleneck and flow control

30           of RLC takes care of it. In the downlink, RLC will handle the RNC - MS part. Between RNC and the core network, there is no flow control. But this is not a much worse situation than in GPRS, because GPRS does not have any flow control inside the core network (between

GGSN and SGSN).

Adequate data transfer between 3G-GGSN and RNC relies on large enough buffers, traffic policing in 3G-GGSN and end-to-end flow control, e.g., Transmission Control Protocol (TCP). In general, the removing of LLC streamlines the protocol stack and makes it easier to achieve higher data rates and reduces required processing power.

The location of the UMTS counterpart to L3CE (SNDCP in GPRS) called Packet Data Convergence Protocol (PDCP) is under consideration. Unlike in GPRS the PDCP layer is located in RNC instead of SGSN. The protocol *inter alia* takes care of optimization, e.g., by header compression, which is a form of optimization algorithm. Some header compression algorithms are based on the principle that disappearance of a few packets may cause undesirable additional packet loss due to the algorithm itself. This degrades packet transfer because more retransmissions are needed to be done. By locating it to the RNC, the retransmission time is short and the TCP level retransmission (due to TCP timers) can be avoided.

Network layer protocols are intended to be capable of operating over services derived from a wide variety of subnetworks and data links. The PDCP supports several network layer protocols providing protocol transparency for the users of the service. An introduction of new network layer protocols to be transferred over PDCP should be possible without any changes to other UMTS protocols. Therefore, all functions related to transferring of Network Layer Protocol Data Units (N-PDUs) are carried out in a transparent way by the network entities. Another requirement for PDCP is to provide functions that improve data and channel efficiency. This is done by different kind of optimization algorithms or

methods, e.g., the above-mentioned header compression.

UMTS (Universal Mobile Telecommunications System), as shown in Fig. 14, utilizes similar protocol structures and negotiation arrangements for communication between mobile stations, Radio Network Controllers (RNCs) and service nodes of packet-switched networks, with some modification. Exchange Identification (XID) negotiation is carried out by the PDCP but is called PDCP parameter negotiation and can be viewed generally as a transfer of optimization algorithm parameters.

In either case, the negotiated parameters will relate to such optimization algorithm parameters, for example, to the use of headers and data compression. The GSM/GPRS method for arranging an XID negotiation is to insert the proposed parameters into certain messages in an LLC protocol layer and to use corresponding LLC-level answering messages to either acknowledge or reject the proposed SMDCP parameters.

The XID negotiation is usually made when SMDCP and LLC in GPRS are initialized (values for XID parameters are no longer valid). This initialization is made, e.g., when the MS is powered on or the location of network side protocols changes in handover.

The main problem of the currently-proposed XID negotiation method for UMTS is that the location of PDCP is different from the location of SMDCP and LLC protocol. PDCP locates in the radio access network while comparable GPRS protocols locate in core networks. This means that the location of PDCP changes far more often than the locations of SMDCP and LLC. Because XID messages may be relatively large, this adds much more overhead to the air interface in UMTS than in GPRS.

Another problem is that UMTS has also real time packet connections. This means that negotiations such as

XID should be as fast as possible, because otherwise it may cause delays or at least more overhead in the air interface (header compression cannot be used after handover until XID negotiation is successfully made).

5

## SUMMARY OF INVENTION

The object of the invention is to provide for improved UMTS as well as GSM/GPRS negotiation methods.

09716629.112000  
This invention improves any negotiation, such as  
10 optimization algorithm parameter negotiation, e.g., XID negotiation, by reducing the overhead over the air interface and making the negotiation procedure faster. The basic idea of the invention is that during handover, parameters such as XID, containing parameter information  
15 about optimization methods to be supported, are transferred from the old entity to the new entity on the network side. If the parameters were appropriate in the new entity, the actual negotiation between the MS and the network is not needed, thus saving resources on the air  
20 interface. This method is also considerably faster than, for instance, normal XID negotiation.

According to the present invention, a method of negotiating such as negotiating optimization algorithm parameters, for instance exchange identification (XID)  
25 parameters during connection handover of a mobile station between radio network subsystems, comprises the steps of signaling from a source radio network subsystem to a core network or to a target radio network subsystem that said handover is required, signaling from the core network or  
30 from the target radio network subsystem to the source radio network subsystem that said handover is to proceed, and transmitting said parameters from said source radio network subsystem to said target radio network subsystem directly or via the core network without any need for

renegotiating over an air interface between said mobile station and said target radio network subsystem.

In further accord with the present invention, wherein during initial establishment of said connection  
 5 between the mobile station and the source radio network subsystem, the optimization algorithm parameters such as exchange identification parameters may include various optional sets of parameters, only one of which is accepted by the source radio network subsystem, said  
 10 method further comprising the step of storing all of said optional sets of parameters wherein said step of transmitting said parameter includes transmitting all of said optional sets of parameters.

From the foregoing, it will be realized that the  
 15 present invention indeed saves resources for the air interface and makes any kind of negotiation, including negotiation of parameters relating to optimization methods such as XID faster, which is advantageous for real time connections.

20 These and other objects, features and advantages of the present invention will become more apparent in light of the detailed description of a best mode embodiment thereof, as illustrated in the accompanying drawing.

## 25 BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWING

Fig. 1 shows a source radio network controller (RNC) moving already-negotiated XID parameters to a target RNC during handover, according to the present invention.

30 Fig. 2 shows a simplified procedure of SRNS relocation according to the present invention.

Fig. 3 shows an MSC connecting to the network.

Fig. 4 shows MSC initialization.

Fig. 5 shows MSC SRNS relocation.

Fig. 6 also shows MSC SRNS relocation.

Fig. 7 shows a situation before SRNS relocation and location registration.

Fig. 8 shows the situation after the SRNS relocation and location registration.

5 Fig. 9 shows how Figs. 9A and 9B fit together.

Figs. 9A and 9B together show the signaling sequence concerning interface information transfer for SRNS relocation update when changing SGSN area resulting in a change of register location and followed by location  
10 registration in a new location area.

Fig. 10 shows data paths before the SRNS relocation has been actually committed.

Fig. 11 shows data paths after the GGSN update.

Fig. 12 shows data paths after the resource release  
15 in the source RNC.

Fig. 13 shows the GPRS network architecture.

Fig. 14 shows the UMTS packet network architecture.

Fig. 15 shows two logical RNCs.

## 20 BEST MODE FOR CARRYING OUT THE INVENTION

The first XID negotiation after the MS is connected to the network is always a normal GPRS type of XID negotiation, as in the prior art. The GPRS XID parameter negotiation as part of the SMDCP protocol is defined in  
25 TS 101 297 v.6.4.0 (1999-08) (GSM 04.65 version 6.4.0 Release 1997 (chapter 6.8)).

Similarly, during inter RNC handover (SRNS relocation), according to the currently-proposed evolution of the GSM platform towards UMTS, the control  
30 point of data transfer moves from a source RNC (RNC 1) to a target RNC (RNC 2) and thus a new PDCP entity is established to the target RNC network element. However this new PDCP entity should negotiate XID parameters, before it starts data transfer towards the MS (PDCP can

transfer data before it knows negotiated XID parameters, but then it is allowed only use default values of XID parameters -> no optimization is allowed, e.g., header compression).

- 5       The prior art basic solution (as in GPRS) is still that the target RNC makes normal XID negotiation between itself and the MS and after that starts data transfer.

10       A more advantageous solution according to the present invention, and as illustrated in Fig. 1, is that the source RNC 16 (RNC 1) moves the already-negotiated XID parameters to the target RNC 20 (RNC 2) during handover, i.e., SRNS relocation directly or via SGSN 26 (see 3G TS 23.121 v3.0.0 - chapter 4.3.12.2.3).

- 15       Fig. 1 shows a pair of radio network subsystems 11, 12 connected to the core network 14 through an Iu interface. The radio network system 11 consists of a radio network controller 16 and one or more abstract entities 18, which may be called Node B, which corresponds to the Base Transceiver Subsystem of GSM.
- 20       The entities of Node B are connected to the RNC through an Iub interface. A Node B can support FDD mode, TDD mode or dual-mode operation. The RNC is responsible for handover decisions that require signaling to the mobile station 10 over a Uu interface. The RNC comprises a
- 25       combining/splitting function to support macrodiversity between different Node Bs. The Node B can comprise an optional combining/splitting function to support macrodiversity inside a Node B. The RNCs 16, 20 of the radio network subsystems 11, 12 can be interconnected
- 30       together through an Iur interface, as already discussed previously in connection with Fig. 14.

Each RNC is responsible for the resources of its set of cells. For each connection between a user equipment, such as the mobile station MS 10 of Fig. 1, and the

illustrated access/core architecture, one RNC is the serving RNC. In Fig. 1, the RNC1 16 is initially the serving RNC. The RNC2 20 serves as a drift RNC (see also Fig. 15) and supports the serving RNC1 16 by providing radio resources for possible handover. Upon such a handover, as suggested above, during the inter-RNC handover, the control point of data transfer moves from RNC1 16 to RNC2 20 for establishing a new PDCP entity to the target RNC2 20. According to the prior art, this new PDCP entity should first negotiate PDCP parameters, before it starts data transfer to the MS, unless it wishes to only use the default values, i.e., without optimization.

According to the present invention, rather than renegotiating, such as renegotiating optimization algorithm parameters, for instance PDCP parameters all over again, the RNC1 16 transfers the already-negotiated PDCP parameters to RNC2 20, as indicated on a line 24, which transfer may take place over the Iur interface or through the core network 14, e.g., via a serving GPRS support node (SGSN) 26.

Fig. 2 shows an embodiment that represents a simplified procedure of SRNC relocation where two SGSNs are involved in the core network. One possible solution for PDCP parameter transfer is the use of SRNC relocation messages (e.g., SRNC\_Relocation\_Required 30, Forward\_SRNC\_Relocation 32 (e.g., if RNS1 and RNS2 are connected to different SGSNs, to possibly another SGSN not shown in Fig. 1), SRNC\_Relocation\_Request 34 to the target SRNC 20, SRNC's Relocation\_Proceeding 1 36, Forward\_SRNC\_Relocation\_Response 38, SRNC\_Relocation\_Proceed 2 40, SRNC\_Relocation\_Commit 42, RNC\_Restart\_44, Data\_Transmission\_Begin 46, PDCP\_Parameter\_Request (if needed) 48,

PDPCP\_Parameter\_Response (if needed) 50). The format of PDPCP parameters can be the same as in normal prior art XID negotiation. After the target RNC2 20 receives these PDPCP parameters, it checks their validity. If they are  
 5 valid, it can use the parameters immediately. Otherwise the target RNC makes a normal XID-type negotiation, as suggested in Fig. 2 at steps 48, 50. So PDPCP negotiation between the MS and the target RNC is made only when PDPCP parameters are not valid in the target RNC and therefore  
 10 air resources are saved.

However, the MS requires information about the validity of the PDPCP parameters before it can send data to the RNC (MS can't otherwise know whether PDPCP parameters were alright in the RNC). There are two  
 15 options:

Preferable solution: RNC informs the MS about validity of XID parameter during/before RLC restart within separate message, e.g., during step 44 of Fig. 2.

If PDPCP parameters were valid, both ends can use same  
 20 negotiated PDPCP parameters immediately. If PDPCP parameters were not valid, PDPCP negotiation is made after restart, as shown, e.g., in steps 48, 50. Until PDPCP negotiation is completed, all data packets are sent in uncompressed mode, i.e., the default mode.

25 Another solution: It can be guaranteed, that PDPCP parameter negotiation can be made before data transfer (preferably before RLC restart step 44), if it is needed. (This might cause delays to SRNC relocation, however.)

This retrieval of PDPCP parameters from the source  
 30 RNC, as described so far, has one disadvantage. The target RNC can't know if the MS can handle 'better' PDPCP parameters, e.g., better compression methods than originally negotiated between the MS and the source RNC  
 16 (RNC 1).

Example:

- MS can handle header compression methods A and B
- RNC 1 can handle header compression method A
- RNC 2 can handle header compression methods A and B.

5       Because PDCP negotiation is made originally by RNC 1, only header compression A is negotiated for use. After SRNC relocation, RNC 2 checks the validity of the PDCP parameters. In the example they are valid, because RNC 2 can handle header compression A. The problem is  
10       that, in this situation, PDCP negotiation between MS is not made and header compression B is not taken up for use. If the header compression B is significantly better, it causes inefficiency. (Normal PDCP negotiation takes always the best XID parameters for use.)

15       This problem can be avoided, according further to the invention, with the following enhancements:

      Firstly, the initial XID negotiation (first XID negotiation after MS is connected to the network) is always started from the MS side. (This is a normal  
20       situation in GPRS). The MS defines and puts suitable PDCP parameters into the PDCP message. Then the peer entity, i.e., RNC, negotiates, i.e., selects appropriate PDCP parameters and sets suitable values to them. After that the RNC returns negotiated XID parameters to the MS  
25       and the negotiated parameters are taken up for use.

      However, if the RNC stores in addition to negotiated PDCP parameters also the 'not used' or discarded PDCP parameters (in the example, it stores information on header compression B), when SRNC relocation is made, the  
30       'not used' PDCP parameters are retrieved from storage and are also transferred to the target RNC. (The same SRNC relocation messages are used then on transfer or negotiated PDCP parameters.) According to this information, the target RNC can decide if those 'not

used' XID parameters are 'better' (in the example, header compression B) than currently negotiated and make PDCP negotiation between MS to take up for use new and 'better' XID parameters.

- 5       A few examples of Negotiation of Header Compression (HC) parameters according to the invention will now be given.

Example 1:

- 10       An example of negotiation of header compression (HC) parameters is shown in Fig. 3. When Mobile Station connects to the network RRCs with a *UE CAPABILITY INFORMATION* message is used to inform the SRNC of the header compression (HC) methods that UE is able to use and the parameters thereof. This information is left to the network to be updated and taken care of.

- 15       After comparing the network's own and these received parameters, the network makes a decision of the HC-method to be used, also taking into consideration the QoS requirements. Thus it is possible to choose the most probable HC method (in other words, according to QoS requirements the first configured method can be chosen to be real-time traffic optimized method or not). After the network has made the decision it configures its own  
20       compressor, generates the OPT value table and commands using RRC messages *RADIO BEARER SETUP* (Fig. 4) or *RADIO BEARER RECONFIGURATION* (Fig. 5) the parameters relating to that algorithm with which the compressor in the UE end is configured. At the same time the OPT table is  
25       generated to match the table of the network's end. The VE\_RRC responds with a *RADIO\_BEARER\_SETUP\_COMPLETE* (Fig. 4) message to the SRNC\_RRC or with a *RADIO\_BEARER\_RECONFIGURATION\_COMPLETE* (Fig. 5) message in case of reconfiguration.

Because the network knows (Fig. 3) which algorithms the UE and the network itself are able to use, it is possible to configure a new compressor in case other kinds of packets (different from what is supported by current compressor) are recognized and the compression of these is supported by the network and the UE. In that case, new compressors will be configured at both ends immediately. If the notification is in the UE end, these are sent firstly to the RNC uncompressed and after the RNC notices the situation it configures the compressors at both ends. The new compressor at the UE end is configured using a *RADIO BEARER RECONFIGURATION* (Fig. 5) message containing the information, which is sent when the new method is being configured.

Because the network maintains the information of all possible methods for use at both the UE and the network and because only the most probable method is being configured, it is possible to leave the compressors of other methods to be configured later if needed.

In case of SRNS relocation, as detailed in Fig. 6, after the last *SRNS\_relocation\_Commit* message, a new *RNC RADIO BEARER RECONFIGURATION* (Fig. 5) message is sent, wherein new HC parameters are communicated if the method changes. In case the method doesn't change, only old parameters are communicated and information about the reset (yes/no) of the compressor is transmitted. If there is no resetting then the compression/decompression continues as it was in the old RNC.

#### Example 2:

Again, when the Mobile Station connects to the network RRCs with the *UE CAPABILITY INFORMATION* message of Fig. 3, the *SRNC\_RNC* is informed of the desired header compression (HC) methods that the UE is able to use and

the related parameters. This information is left to the network to be updated and taken care of.

The network chooses the methods that can be supported based on its own supported methods as well as those of the UE. After this the network could send the parameters of all the supported methods at the same time with a message to the UE. This would mean that both the network and the UE would know which methods can be supported. In this case also the OPT table indicating different packet types of different methods is generated to be similar at both ends. This information transfer can be carried out by using RRC's *RADIO BEARER SETUP*, as shown in Fig. 4, or *RADIO BEARER RECONFIGURATION* messages, as shown in Fig. 5. At the same time the most probable method is informed and configured and the compressor is created.

In case the configured compressor is, e.g., TCP/IP but afterwards RTP/UDP/IP real-time packets are recognized, PDCP recognizes the situation and generates a new compressor for those. This new RTP/UDP/IP compressor is configured and inside the compressor the stream-based contexts are generated and stream-based Full Headers (FH) are sent to the other end. The link layer informs using the OPT-field about what compression method is in question and that it deals with that method's Full Header (FH). The other end notices the situation, configures the decompressor and generates (using FHs) the correct internal contexts for existing streams. In this situation no *RADIO BEARER RECONFIGURATION* messages need to be sent. After this the compressor is able to send compressed packets without further acts. This solution works independently of the transmission end (UE/network).

Another solution is that for all supported methods each end's own compressors are configured immediately in

the beginning, meaning that compressor configuration is done only once. In this case inside the compressor only the own specific stream-based contexts are generated and stream-based Full Headers (FH) are sent to the other end.

- 5 Also if the same compressor supports two methods the configuration is not needed but only one's own stream-based compressor contexts are generated and FHs sent to the other end.

Again, in SRNS relocation after

- 10 *SRNC\_relocation\_Commit* message, as shown in Fig. 6, a new *RNC RADIO BEARER RECONFIGURATION* message is being sent (Fig. 5), wherein the UE is informed if the method changes. In case the method doesn't change only information about the reset (yes/no) of the compressor is sent. If there is no resetting then the compression/decompression continues as it was in the old RNC.

Example 3:

- 20 It is also possible that network informs the UE about the methods it supports when connecting to the network and in case of SRNS relocation after *SRNC\_relocation\_Commit* message. In this case UE begins the transmission of compressor parameters using some
- 25 *RADIO BEARER SETUP* (Fig. 4) and *RADIO BEARER RECONFIGURATION* (Fig. 5) based signaling and the compressor generating procedure according to example 1 or 2 with the difference that UE sends the configuration messages and network receives them.

- 30 The current (prior art) solution in GPRS is that XID negotiation is made again when the location of SGSN changes (inter SGSN handover). This negotiation is required, because the SMDCP and LLC protocols locate in SGSN and the old XID parameters are not known in the new

SGSN (and they may also be non-applicable). XID negotiation is made for certain (most, but not all) LLC and SNDCP parameters, e.g., header compression parameters.

- 5           However, this approach is not very suitable for UMTS.

- In UMTS, the PDCP is located in RNC, so negotiation will have to be made more often.

- UMTS has real time bearers also for packet data.

- 10          Negotiation would be fast as possible.

Note: PDCP parameter negotiation is probably not to be named XID-negotiation, just PDCP parameter negotiation in UMTS.

- 15          Possible alternatives to make PDCP negotiation between UE and target RNC:

In the following, SRNS relocation is described in detail. All necessary information is transferred from the source RNC to the target RNC.

- 20          - negotiated PDCP parameters -> target RNC, whether they are OK or not for it. If they are, new negotiation is not needed and air resources and time are saved.

- UE capability information -> this includes UE's PDCP capability information among other capabilities. PDCP

- 25          capability information may contain, e.g., the following information: PDCP version number and supported header compression methods and other parameters. This is not mandatory.

- 30           1) One solution is that network commands (RRC protocol in RNC), what parameters are used in the UE (in different radio layer protocols, L1, MAC, RLC, PDCP). This is not an actual two-way negotiation like XID negotiation. However the network shall know what

parameters the UE is able to support (because the network can't command what the UE can't support). This UE capability can be transferred from source SRNC (suggested) or requested from the UE by 'UE capability enquiry' (see RRC specification - TS 25.331 v1.5.0: Chapters 8.1.6 and 8.1.7). Now the target SRNC can negotiate (command) new parameters for the UE. The current (prior art) solution is that the parameters are transferred within 'Radio Bearer Setup/Reconfiguration' messages (see TS 25.332: Chapter 8.2). Actual PDCP parameters should probably be named as 'PDCP Info' like 'RLC info' (see table in chapter 10.1.5.4). Also other messages (new or existing ones) are possible.

In a case where the parameters were OK in the target

SRNC:

- An indication is provided that previously negotiated parameters were OK. Both sides use old parameters. This indication can be one's own RRC level message or part of a 'Radio Bearer Setup/Reconfiguration'-message. This indication can be very short (1 bit), to indicate whether the negotiated parameters were OK or not.

In a case when parameters were not OK in the target SRNC:

- The target RNC commands new parameters taking into consideration the UE's capability. (Normal PDCP parameter negotiation).

In this solution, there is no time saving, because negotiation is one way.

2) In this solution, PDCP parameter negotiation is two-way between the network (RNC) and the UE. In this case, UE capability information is not mandatory (but such may help the target SRNC, when it negotiates new parameters). After SRNC receives the to be negotiated parameters, it checks the suitability of the parameters.

In a case where parameters are OK in target SRNC:

- An indication is provided that the previously negotiated parameters are OK. Both sides use the old parameters. This indication can be one's own RRC level message or part of a 'Radio Bearer Setup/Reconfiguration'-message. This indication can be very short (1 bit), to indicate whether negotiated parameters were OK or not.

10 In a case where parameters were not OK in target SRNC:

- The target RNC negotiates new parameters. (Two-way PDCP parameter negotiation). First direction message (request) may be same as in solution 1), i.e., 'Radio Bearer Setup/Reconfiguration', such as in Figs. 4 or 5, and second direction message (reply) could be 'Radio Bearer Setup/Reconfiguration Complete' (see chapter 10.1.5.5). Also new (own) messages for PDCP negotiation in RRC protocol may be possible.

20 In this solution, time is saved, because two-way negotiation needs to be made only when parameters weren't OK.

Note: In both solutions it is assumed that the RRC makes the PDCP negotiation and after negotiation (if needed) RRC informs new parameters to PDCP. An alternative solution is that PDCP makes the negotiation by itself. Then RRC messages are not used, but PDCP uses its own PDUs for negotiation. However the basic principles are the same also in this case.

30 A similar approach could be used also in future releases of GPRS.

SRNS relocation principles according to 3G TS 23.121 v 3.1.0 (1999-10) 3G PP Technical Specification Group

Services and Systems Aspects; Architectural Requirements  
for Release 1999 at Section 4.3.14.2, as modified  
according to the present invention:

5           According to Chapter 4.3.14.2.1 of 3G TS 23.121, to  
carry out SRNS relocation, the source SRNC must launch  
the SRNS relocation procedure, since it is not the target  
SRNC but the source SRNC that knows the current services  
of a user. This is done only when this procedure has the  
10   least adverse effect on user traffic. The SRNC  
relocation procedures must ensure that there is only one  
Serving RNC for a user even if this user has services  
through more than one (IP or ISDN) domain.

          The SRNS relocation procedure is split in two  
15   phases. In the first phase resources are reserved on the  
new IU interfaces and (if needed) inside the CN. Only  
when this first phase has been successfully carried out  
for all domains on which the user currently has some  
services, can the source SRNC launch the second phase,  
20   i.e., handover of the role of SRNC to the target SRNC.

          The signaling procedures shown below do not  
represent the complete set of possibilities, according to  
the TS 23.121 specification, nor do they mandate this  
kind of operation. It should be understood according to  
25   the standard, that a set of elementary procedures should  
be specified for each interface, which may be combined in  
different ways in an implementation. Therefore the  
illustrative sequences are merely examples of a typical  
implementation. In these examples from the 3G TS 23.121  
30   standard, MSC stands for 3G\_MSC/VLR and SGSN stands for  
3G\_SGSN.

SRNS relocation  
 (UE connected to a single CN node, 3G\_SGSN)  
 followed by Location Registration in new Location Area as  
 per Chapter 4.3.14.2.3 of 3G TS 23.121 as modified by the  
 5 present invention

This example shows SRNS relocation when source RNC  
 and target RNC are connected to different 3G\_SGSNs. Fig.  
 7 and Fig. 8 respectively illustrate the situation before  
 10 and after the SRNS relocation and location registration.  
 Fig. 9 illustrates the signaling sequence where each step  
 is explained below.

As shown in Fig. 7, before the SRNS relocation and  
 location registration the UE is registered in SGSN1 and  
 15 in MSC1. The UE is in state MM connected towards the  
 SGSN1 and in state MM idle (see Chapter 4.3 UMTS Mobility  
 Management (UMM) in 3G TS 23.121) towards the MSC1. The  
 RNC1 is acting as SRNC and the RNC2 is acting as DRNC.

After the SRNS relocation and location registration  
 20 as shown in Fig. 8, the UE is registered in MSC2 and in  
 SGSN2. The UE is in state MM connected towards the SGSN2  
 and in state MM idle towards the MSC2. The RNC2 is  
 acting as SRNC.

At SRNS relocation:

25 The source and target SGSN exchange CN level information  
 (CN classmark, list of established PDP contexts)

The source and target SRNC exchange UTRAN level  
 information (UTRAN classmark, ...) and information used  
 to ensure that no user packet is lost nor duplicated  
 30 during the SRNS relocation procedure. According to the  
 teachings of the present invention, this UTRAN level  
 information also includes negotiated PDCP (XID)  
 parameters.

### "Resource reservation" Phase

During this phase, according further to Chapter 4.3.14.2.3 of 3G TS 23.121 v 3.1.0 (1999-10), the transmission of packets between GGSN and UE through the source SRNC goes on. The following numbered paragraphs correspond to the numbered steps in Figs. 9A and 9B, which fit together as shown in Fig. 9.

1. UTRAN (source SRNC) makes the decision to perform the Serving RNC relocation procedure. This includes a decision on into which RNC (Target RNC) the Serving RNC functionality is to be relocated. The source SRNC sends SRNC Relocation Required messages to the SGSN1. This message includes parameters such as target RNC identifier and an information field that shall be passed transparently to the target RNC. According to the present invention, this may include negotiated PDCP (XID) parameters, UE capability (e.g., supported header compression methods by UE) and any other related parameters.

2. Upon reception of SRNC Relocation required message the SGSN1 determines from the received information that the SRNC relocation will (for instance, in this case) result in a change of SGSN.

- 25 The SGSN will then send a Forward SRNC relocation request to the applicable SGSN (e.g., SGSN2) including the information received from the Source SRNC (see above PDCP (XID) parameter information according to the invention) and necessary information for the change of SGSN (e.g., MM context, PDP context). The PDP context information contains the list of the PDP context (including PDP type, requested/negotiated QoS) currently established by the UE along with the address of the

associated GGSN. It does not contain any information linked with packet transmission (sequence numbers) because such information is under the responsibility of the UTRAN.

- 5     3.    The SGSN2 sends a SRNC Relocation Request message to the target RNC. This message includes information for building up the SRNC context, transparently sent from Source SRNC (e.g., UE id., number of connected CN nodes, UE capability information (including the inventive information transfer relating to PDCP (XID) parameters described above), and directives for setting up Iu user plane transport bearers.

10           When the Iu user plane transport bearers have been established, and the target RNC completed its preparation phase, SRNC Relocation Proceeding 1 message is sent to the SGSN2, as shown in Figs. 9A and 9B. *The SRNC Relocation Proceeding 1 message* contains the IP address(es) (possibly one address per PDP context) on which the target RNC is willing to receive these packets.

- 15           4.    When the traffic resources between target RNC and SGSN2 has been allocated and the SGSN2 is ready for the SRNC move, then the Forward SRNC Relocation Response is sent from SGSN2 to SGSN1. This message indicates that necessary resources have been allocated for the SRNC relocation: SGSN2/target RNC are ready to receive from source SRNC the downstream packets not yet acknowledged by UE. *The Forward SRNC Relocation Response message* contains the IP address(es) that were given in the SRNC Relocation Proceeding 1 message.

- 20           5.    When the Forward SRNC Relocation Response has been received in the SGSN1, the SGSN1 indicates the completion of preparation phase at the CN PS domain side for the

SRNC relocation by sending the SRNC Relocation Proceeding 2 message to the Source RNC. This message contains the IP address(es) (possibly one address per PDP context) on which to send the downstream packets not yet acknowledged by UE.

### "Actual hand-over of Serving RNC" Phase

6. When the source RNC has received the SRNC Relocation Proceeding 2 message, the source RNC sends a SRNC Relocation Commit message to the target RNC (list of (SNU, UP\_RLC\_Ack, SND)). SND is the GTP sequence number for the next downlink packet received from the GGSN. SNU is the GTP sequence number for the next uplink packet to be tunnelled to the GGSN. UP\_RLC\_Ack contains the acknowledgements for an upstream PDU received by the source SRNC on each RLC connection used by the UE (i.e., the Receive State Variable V(R) for all RLC SAPI in the acknowledged mode). The source SRNC starts a timer T3-TUNNEL, stops the exchange of the packets with the UE (point (a)), and starts tunnelling the buffered downstream packets towards the target SRNC. The target RNC executes a switch for all bearers at the earliest suitable time instance. In this phase, according to the present invention, new PDCCP parameters are to be negotiated if needed. See the description above concerning possible alternatives for PDCCP negotiation between the UE and the RNC.

7. The target RNC starts acting as SRNC and the remaining steps 7-14 of Chapter 4.3.14.2.3 of 3G TS 23.121 v 3.1.0 (1999-10) remain the same and are unaffected by the present invention. The target SRNC:

- (a) Restarts the RLC connections. This includes the exchange between the target SRNC and the UE of the UP\_RLC\_Ack and DOWN\_RLC\_ACK. DOWN\_RLC\_ACK confirms all mobile-terminated packets successfully transferred before the start of the relocation procedure. If DOWN\_RLC\_ACK confirms reception of packets that were forwarded from the source SRNC, then these packets shall be discarded by the target SRNC. UP\_RLC\_Ack confirms all mobile-originated packets successfully transferred before the start of the relocation procedure. From now on the exchange of the packets with the UE can restart (point (b)).
- (b) Sends New MM System Information to the UE indicating, e.g., relevant Routing Area and Location Area. A new RAI triggers a routing area update procedure. Additional RRC information may then also be sent to the UE, e.g., new RNTI identity. This may trigger a location update procedure (see step 12 below).
8. Immediately after a successful switch at RNC, target RNC (=SRNC) sends SRNC Relocation Detect message to the SGSN2. After sending out the New MM System Information, the target RNC sends SRNC Relocation Complete message to the SGSN2.
9. The UE sends a Routing area update request (old RAI; old P-TMSI; old PTMSI signature, Update type) to SGSN2 when the New MM System Information included a new RAI.
10. Upon reception of RAU request, the SGSN2 updates the GGSN(s) with an Update PDP Context Request including the new SGSN address. The GGSN(s) then update the PDP context and return Update PDP Context Response. The SGSN

sends a Complete SRNC Relocation towards the SGSN1.

11. At reception of the Complete SRNC Relocation, SGSN1 will send a release indication towards the Source RNC. All resources allocated to this UE by the source RNC are released only when this message has been received and timer T3-TUNNEL has expired. Before timer T3-TUNNEL expires, all downstream packets received from the GGSN are sent towards the target SRNC.
12. The SGSN2 informs the HLR of the change of SGSN by sending Update GPRS location (IMSI, new SGSN address etc.) to the HLR. The HLR cancels the context in the old SGSN, SGSN1, by sending Cancel Location (IMSI). The SGSN1 removes the context and acknowledges with Cancel Location Ack. The HLR sends Insert subscriber data (IMSI, subscription data) to the SGSN2. The SGSN2 acknowledges with Insert Subscriber Data Ack. The HLR acknowledges the Update GPRS location by sending Update GPRS Location Ack to the SGSN2.
13. At reception of Insert subscriber data from HLR, the SGSN2 will initiate the update of MM information stored in the UE. This is done by sending Network Initiated Routing Area Update Command to the UE. This message will include new RAI, and possible also new P-TMSI. When the UE has made necessary updates it answers with Network Initiated Routing Area Update Complete.
14. When receiving new MM system information indicating a new Location Area, the UE will, in this case, initiate a Location Area update procedure towards the MSC2. This implies that the Location Area update will be performed in parallel to the above indicated activities related to the SGSN side of the Core Network.

UE-GGSN Communication path during the SRNS  
relocation procedure

5           Before point (a), in Fig. 9A, the connection is established between UE and GGSN via Source SRNC and SGSN1, as shown in Fig. 10 (Fig. 4-28 of 3G TS 23.121 v 3.1.0).

10           After transmission of the "SRNS relocation commit" to the target SRNC (after point (a) in Fig. 9A, the source RNC cannot exchange data with the UE because its RLC should be frozen after the transmission of the RLC sequence numbers to the target RNC. Before the restart of the RLC between target SRNC and UE (before point (b) in Fig. 9A), data transfer cannot go on. All downstream packets received by the target SRNC during this phase are buffered until restart of the RLC between target SRNC and UE.

20           After point (c), in Fig. 9A, the connection is established between UE and GGSN via Target RNC and SGSN2.

          Before resource release in source RNC (before T3-TUNNEL expiry), target SRNC may receive downstream packet from two paths. Packets remaining on the backbone are sent on the "old path" (via SGSN1 and RNC1) and forwarded by source RNC1 to target SRNC2 while packets received by the GGSN on its Gi interface are sent on the new path (via SGSN2) to target SRNC2.

          Fig. 11 shows data paths after the GGSN update (after point (c) in Fig. 9A).

30           Fig. 12 shows data paths after the resource release in source RNC (after the release response in Fig. 9A).

          Although the invention has been shown and described with respect to a best mode embodiment thereof, it should be understood by those skilled in the art that the

foregoing and various other changes, omissions and additions in the form and detail thereof may be made therein without departing from the spirit and scope of the invention.

00716529-112000

## CLAIMS

1. Method of negotiating parameters of an optimization algorithm during connection handover of a mobile station between radio network subsystems, comprising the steps of:
- signaling from a source radio network subsystem to a core network or to a target radio network subsystem that said handover is required;
  - signaling from the core network or from the target radio network subsystem to the source radio network subsystem that said handover is to proceed; and
  - transmitting said parameters from said source radio network subsystem to said target radio network subsystem directly or via the core network without any need for renegotiating said parameters over an air interface between said mobile station and said target radio network subsystem.
2. The method of claim 1, wherein during initial establishment of said connection between the mobile station and the source radio network subsystem, the parameters may include various optional sets of parameters, only one of which is accepted by the source radio network subsystem, said method further comprising the step of storing all of said optional sets of parameters wherein said step of transmitting said parameter includes transmitting all of said optional sets of parameters.


3. Mobile telecommunications system including a core network (14) connected (Iu) to plural interconnected (Iur) radio network subsystems (11, 12) for communicating with a mobile station (10) over an air interface (Uu),  
5 wherein a first one of said radio network subsystems (11) includes a source radio network controller (16) for signaling to said core network or to a target radio network controller (20) in a second one of said radio network subsystems (12) that a handover is required  
10 wherein in response thereto said core network or said target radio network subsystem signals the source radio network controller that said handover is to proceed, and wherein parameters are then transmitted from said source radio network controller to said target radio network  
15 controller directly or via the core network without any need for renegotiating said parameters over said air interface between said mobile station and said target radio network controller.


20 4. The system of claim 3, wherein during an initial negotiation of said parameters between the mobile station and the source radio network controller, said parameters include various optional sets of parameters, only one of which is accepted by the source radio network  
25 controller, wherein said various optional sets of parameters are stored by said source radio network controller for transmittal to said target radio network controller after said source radio network controller signals said target radio network controller that said  
30 handover is to proceed.

## ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE

Instead of renegotiating parameters relating to an optimization algorithm previously negotiated between a mobile station and a target radio network subsystem during connection handover of the mobile station from a source radio network subsystem, prestored parameters are transferred instead between the source radio network subsystem and the target radio network subsystem either directly over an existing Iur interface or via a core network over an Iu interface.

**FIG. 1**






**FIG. 2**


MSC Connecting\_to\_network



**FIG. 3**




MSC Initialization


**FIG. 4**









**FIG. 7** (Before the SRNS relocation and location registration)




**FIG. 8** (After the SRNS relocation and location registration)




**FIG. 9B** (Interface information transfer for SRNS relocation update when changing SGSN area resulting in a change of registration location and followed by location registration in new Location Area)




**FIG. 9**




**FIG. 9A**



**FIG. 10** (Data paths before the SRNS relocation has been actually committed (before point (a) in Figure 9))




**FIG. 11** Data paths after the GGSN update (after point (c) in Fig. 9)




**FIG. 12**

Data paths after the resource release in source RNC (after point (d) in Figure 9))



**FIG. 13**  
(GPRS network architecture)



**FIG. 14**

(UMTS packet network architecture)

**FIG. 15**  
(Two logical RNCs)

